

I guess the ESC's work can now be seen in a rather different light!

However while we are a member it seems right to continue to scrutinise things. I think perhaps for all documents from now on the Government should be asked for its thoughts on post-Brexit cooperation, which agencies it thinks we should remain in and which we should not etc. And if there is a proposed law, what the timeframe is and whether it is one we would wish to keep. These documents sometimes mention Brexit and sometimes don't.

MC1: The recommended debate on the operation of Schengen perhaps should still be asked for, to clear up the Bilateral UK/French border agreement. As for the Dublin Agreement, it might be something we would could try to stay in, but I doubt the EU27 would agree.

For info 2: Dutch Parliament

MC3: (and A17) Perhaps the Minister should be asked to reply again whether/how UK policies on voluntary relocation of minors from within the EU will be continued post Brexit.

A1: **European Union Agency for Asylum**: It would be interesting to get the HO's view on the Opt-in and whether it is something we would want to stay in.

A2: **Fishing vessels**: The delegated act issue is worth raising.

A3: **Firearms**: Perhaps as well as providing an *'Impact Assessment Checklist'* the Government should provide some ideas on whether this is something we would wish to continue cooperation on post withdrawal?

A4: **Mali**: Perhaps instead of clearing this document the Government should be asked whether this is an EU initiative we would wish to continue bilaterally, in cooperation with France or the EU?

A5: **EU Naval Operation in the Med**: Perhaps instead of clearing this document the Government should be asked to say whether it is an operation we will continue with post-Brexit and if so what mechanism of agreement would be needed?

A6: **Digital Single Market**: The ESC, as the clerks suggest should ask what thoughts the Government has for remaining a part of future Digital Single Market Access post Brexit.

A7: **Digital Single Market**: As this is a proposed Regulation, the Government should be asked whether this is something we would want to adopt into UK law post Brexit.

A8: **Cross border parcel delivery**: This seems to be non-controversial but the Government should be asked what it would do post-Brexit.

A9: **Audio-visual Media Services Directive**: The clerks suggest asking whether this is something that could be agreed bilaterally, which seems a sensible suggestion.

A10: **Cooperation on enforcement of consumer protection laws**. Again this should be subjected to Brexit questions and whether it is suitable for bilateral cooperation.

A11: **EULEX Kosovo**: The 2 year extension would be until June 2018, so is probably within the UK's membership period. The Committee should ask if this type of CSDP policy is one we would wish to enter bilaterally.

A12: **EU/Myanmar**: Not controversial, it might again be an opportunity to ask about post Brexit cooperation.

A13: **Cross-border insolvency proceedings**: Again it is worth asking whether the Government believes this is suitable for post Brexit cooperation.

A14: **Cote d'Ivoire**: repealing arms embargo etc. Not controversial, probably worth a question on post Brexit cooperation.

A15: **Migration partnership with 3<sup>rd</sup> Countries**: This is a not controversial and may be worth a post Brexit question.

A16: **Libya**: Although the mandate's extension to August 2017 will be within the UK's membership, it is surprising the Minister has not mentioned Brexit in his letter of 29 June. It may be worth asking whether this co-operation is something the UK would plan to do bilaterally with the EU and Libya.

A17: (MC1) **Austria's participation in EU relocation:** Apart from the issue of funding, this is probably not controversial, issues are covered in the Ministers letter 1.