

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | ii |
| PROVISIONS RELIED UPON | x |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW | 1 |
| STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> | 1 |
| ARGUMENT | 2 |
| I. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL DECREASE ABORTION AND BIRTH RATES AMONG MINORS | 4 |
| A. Parental Involvement Laws Decrease Minors' Abortion Rates..... | 4 |
| B. Parental Involvement Laws Decrease Minors' Birth Rates..... | 8 |
| II. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL PROTECT MINORS FROM THE PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARMS INHERENT IN ABORTION | 9 |
| A. Minors who abort face demonstrated physical risks | 9 |
| 1. <i>Short-term Physical Risks of Abortion</i> | 9 |
| 2. <i>Long-term Physical Risks of Abortion</i> | 11 |
| B. Minors who abort face demonstrated psychological risks | 16 |
| III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL PROTECT MINORS FROM SEXUAL EXPLOITATION | 23 |
| CONCLUSION | 28 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| | |
|--|------|
| <i>Bellotti v. Baird</i> , 443 U.S. 622 (1979). | 2 |
| <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i> , 550 U.S. 124 (2007). | 2 |
| <i>H.L. v. Matheson</i> , 450 U.S. 398 (1981). | 2 |
| <i>Roper v. Simmons</i> , 543 U.S. 551 (2005). | 2, 3 |
| <i>State v. Planned Parenthood of Alaska</i> , 171 P.3d 577 (Alaska 2007) (<i>Planned Parenthood II</i>). | 2 |
| <i>Troxel v. Granville</i> , 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000). | 1 |

STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.020. | x |
| ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.030. | xii, 2 |
| ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.090. | xv |

OTHER AUTHORITIES

| | |
|---|----|
| J. Abma et al., <i>Young Women's Degree of Control Over First Intercourse: An Exploratory Analysis</i> , FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 30(1):12 (Jan./Feb. 1998). | 23 |
| American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, <i>Dr. Iams</i> (2010). | 13 |
| D. Avonts & P. Piot, <i>Genital infections in women undergoing therapeutic abortion</i> , EURO. J. OBSTET. GYNECOL. & REPROD. BIO. 20(1):53 (1985). | 10 |
| J.M. Barrett, <i>Induced Abortion: A Risk Factor for Placenta Previa</i> , AM. J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. 141:7 (1981). | 15 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| R.E. Behrman, <i>PRETERM BIRTH: CAUSES, CONSEQUENCES, AND PREVENTION</i> (2006). | 14 |
| R.M. Blank et al., <i>State Abortion Rates: The Impact of Policies, Providers, Politics, Demographics, and Economic Environment</i> , <i>J. HEALTH ECON.</i> 15:513 (1996). | 5 |
| R.W. Blum et al., <i>The impact of a parental notification law on adolescent abortion decision-making</i> , <i>AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH</i> 77(5):619 (1987). | 7 |
| Z. Bradshaw & P. Slade, <i>The Effects of Induced Abortion on Emotional Experiences and Relationships: A Critical Review of the Literature</i> , <i>CLINICAL PSYCHOL. REV.</i> 23:929 (2003). | 18 |
| R.T. Burkman et al., <i>Culture and treatment results in endometritis following elective abortion</i> , <i>AM. J. OBSTET. GYNECOL.</i> 128(5):556 (1997). | 10 |
| R.T. Burkman et al., <i>Morbidity risk among young adolescents undergoing elective abortion</i> , <i>CONTRACEPTION</i> 30(2):99 (1984). | 10 |
| W.M. Callaghan, <i>The Contribution of Preterm Birth to Infant Mortality Rates in the U.S.</i> , <i>PEDIATRICS</i> 118(4):1566 (Oct. 2006). | 12 |
| V.G. Cartoof & L.V. Klerman, <i>Parental consent for abortion: Impact of the Massachusetts law</i> , <i>AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH</i> 76(4):397 (1986). | 6 |
| W. Cates, Jr., <i>Teenagers and sexual risk-taking: The best of times and the worst of times</i> , <i>J. ADOLESC. HEALTH</i> 12(2):84 (1991). | 10 |
| P.T. Clements et al., <i>Issues and Dynamics of Sexually Assaulted Adolescents and Their Families</i> , <i>J. MENTAL HEALTH NURSING</i> 13:267 (2004). | 24, 25, 26, 27 |
| P.K. Coleman, <i>Abortion and Mental Health: Quantitative Synthesis and Analysis of Research Published 1995-2009</i> , <i>BRIT. J. OF PSYCHIATRY</i> 199:180 (2011). | 16 |
| P.K. Coleman, <i>Induced Abortion and Increased Risk of Substance Abuse: A Review of the Evidence</i> , <i>CURRENT WOMEN'S HEALTH ISSUES</i> 1:21 (2005). | 18 |
| P.K. Coleman & E.S. Nelson, <i>The quality of abortion decisions and college students' reports of post-abortion emotional sequelae and abortion attitudes</i> , <i>J. SOC. & CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY</i> 17:425 (1998). | 18 |

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| P.K. Coleman et al., <i>State-funded abortions vs. deliveries: A comparison of outpatient mental health claims over four years</i> , AMER. J. ORTHOPSYCHIATRY 72:141 (2002). | 18 |
| G. Congleton & L. Calhoun, <i>Post-abortion perceptions: A comparison of self-identified distressed and non-distressed populations</i> , INT'L J. SOC. PSYCHIATRY 39:255 (1993). | 18 |
| J.R. Cougle et al., <i>Depression Associated with Abortion and Childbirth: A Long-Term Analysis of the NLSY Cohort</i> , MED. SCI. MONITOR 9(4):CR157 (2003). | 17, 19, 21 |
| J.R. Daling et al., <i>Risk of Breast Cancer Among Young Women: Relationship of Induced Abortion</i> , J. NAT'L CANCER INST. 86(21):1584 (1994). | 16 |
| P. Donovan ["Donovan II"], <i>Can Statutory Rape Laws be Effective in Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy?</i> , FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 29(1):30 (Jan./Feb. 1997). | 23 |
| P. Donovan, <i>Judging teenagers: How minors fare when they seek court authorized abortions</i> , FAMILY PLANNING PERSPECTIVES 15(6): 259 (1983). | 4, 7 |
| C. Ellertson, <i>Mandatory parental involvement in minors' abortions: Effects of the laws in Minnesota, Missouri, and Indiana</i> , AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 87(8):1367 (1997). | 6 |
| F.O. Fayote et al., <i>Emotional distress and its correlates</i> , J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. 5:504 (2004). | 19 |
| D.M. Fergusson et al., <i>Abortion in Young Women and Subsequent Mental Health</i> , J. CHILD PSYCHOL. & PSYCHIAT. 41(1):16 (2006). | 16, 17, 19, 20, 21 |
| Food and Drug Administration, <i>Mifepristone U.S. Postmarketing Adverse Events Summary Through 04/30/2011</i> (July 19, 2011). | 11 |
| A.C. Gilchrist et al., <i>Termination of pregnancy and psychiatric morbidity</i> , BRIT. J. PSYCHIATRY 167:243 (1995). | 20 |
| M. Gissler et al., <i>Injury deaths, suicides and homicides associated with pregnancy, Finland 1987-2000</i> , EURO. J. PUBLIC HEALTH 15:459 (2005). | 20 |
| M. Gissler et al., <i>Pregnancy-associated deaths in Finland 1987-1994: Definition problems and benefits of record linkage</i> , ACTA OBSTETRICA ET GYNECOLOGICA SCANDINAVICA 76:651 (1997). | 21 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| M. Gissler et al., <i>Suicides after pregnancy in Finland, 1987-94: Register linkage study</i> , BRIT. MED. J. 313:1431 (1996). | 20 |
| Guttmacher Institute, <i>Facts on Induced Abortion in the United States</i> (August 2011). | 22 |
| Guttmacher Institute, <i>Sex and America's Teenagers</i> (1994). | 23 |
| Guttmacher Institute, <i>Teenage Pregnancy: Overall Trends and State-by-State Information</i> (Feb. 19, 2004). | 11, 22 |
| D. Haas-Wilson, <i>The economic impact of state restrictions on abortion: Parental consent and notification laws and Medicaid funding restrictions</i> , J. POLICY ANALYSIS & MANAGEMENT 12(3):498 (1993). | 4 |
| D. Haas-Wilson, <i>The Impact of State Abortion Restrictions on Minors' Demand for Abortions</i> , J. HUMAN RESOURCES 31(1):140 (1996). | 4 |
| R.F. Hanson et al., <i>Factors Related to the Reporting of Childhood Sexual Assault</i> , CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT 23:559 (1999). | 24 |
| C.C. Hsieh et al., <i>Delivery of Premature Newborns and Maternal Breast-Cancer Risk</i> , LANCET 353:1239 (1999). | 12 |
| C.E. Irwin & V.I. Rickert, <i>Editorial: Coercive Sexual Experiences During Adolescence and Young Adulthood: A Public Health Problem</i> , 36 J. ADOLES. HEALTH 359 (2005). | 24 |
| C. Jackson & J. Klerman, <i>Welfare, Abortion and Teenage Fertility</i> , RAND Corporation working paper, August 1994. | 8 |
| T. Joyce et al., <i>Changes in Abortion and Births and the Texas Parental Notification Law</i> , N.E.J.M. 354(10):1031 (2006). | 7, 8, 9 |
| T.J. Kane & D. Staiger, <i>Teen Motherhood and Abortion Access</i> , QUARTERLY J. ECON. 111(2):467 (1996). | 8 |
| A. Lanfranchi, <i>The Breast Physiology and the Epidemiology of the Abortion Breast Cancer Link</i> , IMAGO HOMINIS 12(3):228-36 (2005). | 13 |
| P.B. Levine, <i>Parental involvement laws and fertility behavior</i> , J. HEALTH ECON. 22(5):861 (2003). | 8 |

Lewin Group, *Statutory Rape: A Guide to State Laws and Reporting Requirements* (2004). 23

B. Luke, *EVERY PREGNANT WOMAN’S GUIDE TO PREVENTING PREMATURE BIRTH* (1995). 14, 15

T. Luster & S.A. Small, *Sexual Abuse History and Number of Sex Partners Among Female Adolescents*, *FAM. PLAN. PERSP.* 29(5):204 (Sept./Oct. 1997). 26

M. Melbye et al., *Preterm Delivery and Risk of Breast Cancer*, *BRITISH J. CANCER* 80(3-4):609 (1999). 12

Mifeprex Label. 11

W.B. Miller et al., *Testing a model of the psychological consequences of abortion*, in L.J. Beckman & S.M. Harvey, *THE NEW CIVIL WAR: THE PSYCHOLOGY, CULTURE, AND POLITICS OF ABORTION* (American Psychological Association 1998). 18

K. Moore & J. Manlove, *A Demographic Portrait of Statutory Rape*, presentation to *Conference on Sexual Exploitation of Teens* (2005). 26

C. Moreau et al., *Previous Induced Abortions and the Risk of Very Preterm Delivery: Results of the EPIPAGE Study*, *BRIT. J. OBSTET. & GYN.* 112:430 (2005). 12

G. Murphy, *BEYOND SURVIVING: TOWARD A MOVEMENT TO PREVENT CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE* (2002). 24, 25

National Association of Children’s Hospitals and Related Institutions [“NACHRI”], *Child Sexual Abuse Fact Sheet* (2004). 24

National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy [“NCPTP”], *14 and Younger: The Sexual Behavior of Young Adolescents* (Summary 2003). 26

M.J. New, *Analyzing the Effect of Anti-Abortion U.S. State Legislation in the Post-Casey Era*, *STATE POLITICS & POLICY QUARTERLY* 11(1):28 (2011). 6

M.J. New, *Analyzing the Effect of State Legislation on the Incidence of Abortion Among Minors: A Report of the Heritage Center for Data Analysis*, *CATHOLIC SOC. SCI. REV.* (Fall 2007). 5, 6

| | |
|--|----------------|
| P.G. Ney, <i>Abortion and Subsequent Substance Abuse</i> , AM. J. DRUG & ALCOHOL ABUSE 26:61 (2000). | 21 |
| M. Oberman ["Oberman II"], <i>Girls in the Master's House: Of Protection, Patriarchy and the Potential for Using the Master's Tools to Reconfigure Statutory Rape Law</i> , 50 DEPAUL L. REV. 799 (2001). | 23 |
| M. Oberman [Oberman I], <i>Regulating Consensual Sex with Minors: Defining a Role for Statutory Rape</i> , 48 BUFFALO L. REV. 703 (2000). | 23, 24, 25, 27 |
| R.L. Ohsfeldt & S.F. Gohmann, <i>Do Parental Involvement Laws Reduce Adolescent Abortion Rates?</i> , CONTEMPORARY ECON. POL'Y 12(2):65 (1994). | 5, 9 |
| W. Pedersen, <i>Abortion and depression: A population-based longitudinal study of young women</i> , SCANDINAVIAN J. PUB. HEALTH 36(4):424 (2008). | 19 |
| Planned Parenthood, <i>In-Clinic Abortion Procedures</i> (2013). | 9 |
| L.M. Pope et al., <i>Post-abortion psychological adjustment: Are minors at increased risk?</i> , J. ADOLESCENT HEALTH 29:2 (2001). | 19 |
| D.C. Reardon et al., <i>Deaths Associated with Abortion Compared to Childbirth: A Review of New and Old Data and the Medical and Legal Implications</i> , J. CONTEMP. HEALTH LAW & POL'Y 20(2):279 (2004). | 15, 21 |
| D.C. Reardon & P.C. Coleman, <i>Relative Treatment Rates for Sleep Disorders and Sleep Disturbances Following Abortion and Childbirth: A Prospective Record-Based Study</i> , J. SLEEP 29:105 (2006). | 21 |
| D.I. Rees & J.J. Sabia, <i>The relationship between abortion and depression: New evidence from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study</i> , MED. SCI. MONITOR 13(10):430 (2007). | 19 |
| V.I. Rickert et al., <i>Disclosure of Date/Acquaintance Rape: Who Reports and When</i> , 18 J. PED. ADOLES. GYN. 17 (2005). | 24, 25 |
| J.L. Rogers et al., <i>Impact of the Minnesota Parental Notification Law on abortion and birth</i> , AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 81(3):294 (1991). | 7, 8 |
| J. Rogers & A. Miller, <i>Inner-City Birth Rates Following Enactment of the Minnesota Parental Notification Law</i> , LAW & HUMAN BEHAVIOR 17(1):27 (1993). | 8 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| B. Rooney & C. Calhoun, <i>Induced Abortion and Risk of Later Premature Births</i> , J. AM. PHYSICIANS & SURGEONS 8(2):46 (2003). | 12, 13, 14 |
| V.M. Rue et al., <i>Induced Abortion and Traumatic Stress: A Preliminary Comparison of American and Russian Women</i> , MED. SCI. MONITOR 10(10):SR5 (2004). | 17, 19, 20 |
| E.M. Saewyc et al., <i>Teenage Pregnancy and Associated Risk Behaviors Among Sexually Abused Adolescents</i> , PERSP. ON SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH 936(3):8 (May/June 2004). | 24, 25, 26 |
| K.F. Schultz et al., <i>Measures to prevent cervical injury during suction curettage abortion</i> , LANCET 1(8335):1182 (1993). | 10 |
| P. Shah et al., <i>Induced termination of pregnancy and low birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis</i> , B.J.O.G. 116(11):1425 (2009). | 13 |
| P.J. Smith, <i>Study Shows Abortion Takes Toll on Adolescent Mental Health</i> (Aug. 18, 2006). | 21, 22 |
| H. Soderberg et al., <i>Emotional distress following induced abortion: A study of its incidence and determinants among abortees in Malmo, Sweden</i> , EUROPEAN J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. & REPROD. BIOLOGY 79:173 (1998). | 18 |
| J.L. Stock et al., <i>Adolescent Pregnancy and Sexual Risk-Taking Among Sexually Abused Girls</i> , FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 29(5):200 (Sept./Oct. 1997). | 25, 26 |
| Stop It Now, <i>Commonly Asked Questions: Answers to Commonly Asked Questions About Child Sexual Abuse</i> (2005). | 24 |
| H.M. Swingle et al., <i>Abortion and the Risk of Subsequent Preterm Birth: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis</i> , J. REPROD. MED. 54:95 (2009). | 14 |
| TABER'S CYCLOPEDIA MEDICAL DICTIONARY (20th ed. 2001). | 15 |
| J.M. Thorp et al., <i>Long-Term Physical and Psychological Health Consequences of Induced Abortion: Review of the Evidence</i> , OBSTET. & GYNECOL. SURVEY 58(1):67 (2003). | 12, 13, 14 |
| R.H. van Oppenraaij et al., <i>Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and complications: a review</i> , HUMAN REPROD. UPDATE ADVANCE ACCESS 1:1 (Mar. 7, 2009). | 13 |

K. Yamaguchi & D. Kandel, *Drug Use and Other Determinants of Premarital Pregnancy and its Outcome: A Dynamic Analysis of Competing Life Events*, J. MARRIAGE & FAMILY 49:257 (1987). 22

PROVISIONS RELIED UPON

ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.020. Notice or consent required before minor's abortion

(a) A person may not knowingly perform or induce an abortion upon a minor who is known to the person to be pregnant, unmarried, under 18 years of age, and unemancipated unless, before the abortion, at least one of the following applies:

(1) either

(A) one of the minor's parents, the minor's legal guardian, or the minor's custodian has been given notice of the planned abortion not less than 48 hours before the abortion is performed, or

(B) the parent, legal guardian, or custodian has consented in writing to the performance or inducement of the abortion; if a parent has consented to the abortion the 48 hour waiting period referenced in (A) of this paragraph does not apply;

(2) a court issues an order under AS 18.16.030 authorizing the minor to consent to the abortion without notice or consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian, and the minor consents to the abortion;

(3) a court, by its inaction under AS 18.16.030, constructively has authorized the minor to consent to the abortion without notice and consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian, and the minor consents to the abortion; or

(4) the minor is the victim of physical abuse, sexual abuse, or a pattern of emotional abuse committed by one or both of the minor's parents or by a legal guardian or custodian of the minor and the abuse is documented by a declaration of the abuse in a signed and notarized statement by

(A) the minor; and

(B) another person who has personal knowledge of the abuse who is

(i) the sibling of the minor who is 21 years of age or older;

(ii) a law enforcement officer;

(iii) a representative of the department of Health and Social Services who has investigated the abuse;

(iv) a grandparent of the minor; or

(v) a stepparent of the minor.

(b) In (a)(1) of this section, actual notice must be given or attempted to be given in person or by telephone by either the physician who has referred the minor for an abortion or by the physician who intends to perform the abortion. An individual designated by the physician may initiate the notification process, but the actual notice shall be given by the physician. The physician giving notice of the abortion must document the notice or attempted notice in the minor's medical record and take reasonable steps to verify that the person to whom the notice is provided is the parent, legal guardian, or custodian of the minor seeking an abortion. Reasonable steps to provide notice must include

(1) if in person, requiring the person to show government-issued identification along with additional documentation of the person's relationship to the minor; additional documentation may include the minor's birth certificate or a court order of adoption, guardianship, or custodianship;

(2) if by telephone, initiating the call, attempting to verify through a review of published telephone directories that the number to be dialed is that of the minor's parent, legal guardian, or custodian, and asking questions of the person to verify that the person's relationship to the minor is that of parent, legal guardian, or custodian; when notice is attempted by telephone but the physician or physician's designee is unsuccessful in reaching the parent, legal guardian, or custodian, the physician's designee shall continue to initiate the call, in not less than two-hour increments, for not less than five attempts, in a 24-hour period.

(c) If actual notice is attempted unsuccessfully after reasonable steps have been taken as described under (b) of this section, the referring physician or the physician intending to perform an abortion on a minor may provide constructive notice to the minor's parent, legal guardian, or custodian. Constructive notice is considered to have been given 48 hours after the certified notice is mailed. In this subsection, "constructive notice" means that notice of the abortion was provided in writing and mailed by certified mail, delivery restricted to addressee only, to the last known address of the parent, legal guardian, or custodian after taking reasonable steps to verify the mailing address.

(d) A physician who suspects or receives a report of abuse under this section shall report the abuse as provided under AS 47.17.020.

(e) A physician who is informed that the pregnancy of a minor resulted from criminal sexual assault of the minor must retain, and take reasonable steps to preserve, the

products of conception and evidence following the abortion for use by law enforcement officials in prosecuting the crime.

ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.030. Judicial bypass for minor seeking an abortion

(a) A woman who is pregnant, unmarried, under 18 years of age, and unemancipated who wishes to have an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian may file a complaint in the superior court requesting the issuance of an order authorizing the minor to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian.

(b) The complaint shall be made under oath and must include all of the following:

(1) a statement that the complainant is pregnant;

(2) a statement that the complainant is unmarried, under 18 years of age, and unemancipated;

(3) a statement that the complainant wishes to have an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian;

(4) an allegation of either or both of the following:

(A) that the complainant is sufficiently mature and well enough informed to decide intelligently whether to have an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian; or

(B) that one or both of the minor's parents or the minor's guardian or custodian was engaged in physical abuse, sexual abuse, or a pattern of emotional abuse against the minor, or that the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian otherwise is not in the minor's best interest;

(5) a statement as to whether the complainant has retained an attorney and, if an attorney has been retained, the name, address, and telephone number of the attorney.

(c) The court shall fix a time for a hearing on any complaint filed under (a) of this section and shall keep a record of all testimony and other oral proceedings in the action. The hearing shall be held at the earliest possible time, but not later than the fifth business day after the day that the complaint is filed. The court shall enter judgment on the complaint immediately after the hearing is concluded. If the hearing required by this subsection is not held by the fifth business day after the complaint is filed, the failure to hold the hearing shall be considered to be a constructive order of the court authorizing the

complainant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian, and the complainant and any other person may rely on the constructive order to the same extent as if the court actually had issued an order under this section authorizing the complainant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without such consent.

(d) If the complainant has not retained an attorney, the court shall appoint an attorney to represent the complainant.

(e) If the complainant makes only the allegation set out in (b)(4)(A) of this section and if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the complainant is sufficiently mature and well enough informed to decide intelligently whether to have an abortion, the court shall issue an order authorizing the complainant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian. If the court does not make the finding specified in this subsection, it shall dismiss the complaint.

(f) If the complainant makes only the allegation set out in (b)(4)(B) of this section and the court finds that there is clear and convincing evidence of physical abuse, sexual abuse, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the complainant by one or both of the minor's parents or the minor's guardian or custodian, or by clear and convincing evidence the consent of the parents, guardian, or custodian of the complainant otherwise is not in the best interest of the complainant, the court shall issue an order authorizing the complainant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian. If the court does not make the finding specified in this subsection, it shall dismiss the complaint.

(g) If the complainant makes both of the allegations set out in (b)(4) of this section, the court shall proceed as follows:

(1) the court first shall determine whether it can make the finding specified in (e) of this section and, if so, shall issue an order under that subsection; if the court issues an order under this paragraph, it may not proceed under (f) of this section; if the court does not make the finding specified in (e) of this section, it shall proceed under (2) of this subsection;

(2) if the court under (1) of this subsection does not make the finding specified in (e) of this section, it shall proceed to determine whether it can make the finding specified in (f) of this section and, if so, shall issue an order under that subsection; if the court does not make the finding specified in (f) of this section, it shall dismiss the complaint.

(h) The court may not notify the parents, guardian, or custodian of the complainant that the complainant is pregnant or wants to have an abortion.

(i) If the court dismisses the complaint, the complainant has the right to appeal the decision to the supreme court, and the superior court immediately shall notify the complainant that there is a right to appeal.

(j) If the complainant files a notice of appeal authorized under this section, the superior court shall deliver a copy of the notice of appeal and the record on appeal to the supreme court within four days after the notice of appeal is filed. Upon receipt of the notice and record, the clerk of the supreme court shall place the appeal on the docket. The appellant shall file a brief within four days after the appeal is docketed. Unless the appellant waives the right to oral argument, the supreme court shall hear oral argument within five days after the appeal is docketed. The supreme court shall enter judgment in the appeal immediately after the oral argument or, if oral argument has been waived, within five days after the appeal is docketed. Upon motion of the appellant and for good cause shown, the supreme court may shorten or extend the maximum times set out in this subsection. However, in any case, if judgment is not entered within five days after the appeal is docketed, the failure to enter the judgment shall be considered to be a constructive order of the court authorizing the appellant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without notice to or the consent of a parent, guardian, or custodian, and the appellant and any other person may rely on the constructive order to the same extent as if the court actually had entered a judgment under this subsection authorizing the appellant to consent to the performance or inducement of an abortion without notice to or the consent of another person. In the interest of justice, the supreme court, in an appeal under this subsection, shall liberally modify or dispense with the formal requirements that normally apply as to the contents and form of an appellant's brief.

(k) Each hearing under this section, and all proceedings under (j) of this section, shall be conducted in a manner that will preserve the anonymity of the complainant. The complaint and all other papers and records that pertain to an action commenced under this section, including papers and records that pertain to an appeal under this section, shall be kept confidential and are not public records under AS 40.25.110 – 40.25.120.

(l) The supreme court shall prescribe complaint and notice of appeal forms that shall be used by a complainant filing a complaint or appeal under this section. The clerk of each superior court shall furnish blank copies of the forms, without charge, to any person who requests them.

(m) A filing fee may not be required of, and court costs may not be assessed against, a complainant filing a complaint under this section or an appellant filing an appeal under this section.

(n) Blank copies of the forms prescribed under (l) of this section and information on the proper procedures for filing a complaint or appeal shall be made available by the court system at the official location of each superior court, district court, and magistrate in the state. The information required under this subsection must also include notification to the minor that

(1) there is no filing fee required for either form;

(2) no court costs will be assessed against the minor for procedures under this section;

(3) an attorney will be appointed to represent the minor if the minor does not retain an attorney;

(4) the minor may request that the superior court with appropriate jurisdiction hold a telephonic hearing on the complaint so that the minor need not personally be present;

(5) the minor may request that the superior court with appropriate jurisdiction issue an order directing the minor's school to excuse the minor from school to attend court hearings held under this section and to have the abortion if one is authorized by the court and directing the school not to notify the minor's parent, legal guardian, or custodian that the minor is pregnant, seeking an abortion, or is absent for purposes of obtaining an abortion.

ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.090. Definitions

In this chapter,

(1) "abortion" means the use or prescription of an instrument, medicine, drug, or other substance or device to terminate the pregnancy of a woman known to be pregnant, except that "abortion" does not include the termination of a pregnancy if done with the intent to

(A) save the life or preserve the health of the unborn child;

(B) deliver the unborn child prematurely to preserve the health of both the pregnant woman and the woman's child; or

(C) remove a dead unborn child;

(2) "unemancipated" means that a woman who is unmarried and under 17 years of age has not done any of the following:

(A) entered the armed services of the United States;

(B) become employed and self-subsisting;

(C) been emancipated under AS 09.55.590; or

(D) otherwise become independent from the care and control of the woman's parent, guardian, or custodian.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Amici adopt the Standard of Review as presented by the State and Intervenors in this appeal.

STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF *AMICUS CURIAE*¹

Amicus Curiae Alaska Family Action is a non-profit public policy organization that seeks to protect Alaskan families through public policy education, issue research, and grassroots advocacy. To that end, *Amicus* serves as an umbrella organization that oversees initiatives in the state of Alaska. Specifically, *Amicus* oversaw the group Alaskans for Parental Rights and ran the ballot measure campaign (“Yes on 2”) that led to the adoption of the Parental Notice Law (PNL) challenged in this case. As such, *Amicus* has a direct interest in the outcome of this case.

Amicus understands that the U.S. Supreme Court has recognized the “fundamental right of parents to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children.” *See Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000). *Amicus* also understands that parental involvement laws are necessary in the abortion context in order to protect minors from the harms inherent in abortion. *Amicus* asserts that Alaska has a compelling interest in protecting minors from such harms and safeguarding the fundamental rights of parents to direct the upbringing of their children, and urges this Court uphold the PNL.

¹ Counsel for *Amici Curiae* has obtained written consent to file from each of the parties. Proof of consent was filed concurrently with this brief.

ARGUMENT

This Court has held that “the State has an undeniably compelling interest in protecting the health of minors and in fostering family involvement in a minor’s decisions regarding her pregnancy.” *State v. Planned Parenthood of Alaska*, 171 P.3d 577, 579 (Alaska 2007) (*Planned Parenthood II*). Yet Planned Parenthood is attempting to deny such protection to minors and their families.

As the United States Supreme Court has acknowledged, the “abortion decision has implications far broader than those associated with most other kinds of medical treatment.” *Bellotti v. Baird*, 443 U.S. 622, 649 (1979). The Court has stated that “immature minors often lack the ability to make fully informed choices that take account of both immediate and long-range consequences.” As recently as 2007, the Court held that “[s]evere depression and loss of esteem can follow” the abortion decision. *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 U.S. 124, 159 (2007).

In fact, in *H.L. v. Matheson*, the Court stated that the emotional and psychological effects of abortion are markedly more severe for girls under the age of 18—those women the State of Alaska is seeking to protect under its Parental Notice Law (PNL).² 450 U.S. 398, 411 n.20 (1981). And in *Roper v. Simmons*, the Court took note of the unique vulnerability of minors when it enunciated the following three differences between minors and adults:

1. Minors possess a lack of maturity and an underdeveloped sense of responsibility, which result in impetuous and ill-considered actions and decisions;

² The PNL applies to minors “under 18 years of age.” ALASKA STAT. § 18.16.030(a).

2. Minors are more vulnerable or susceptible to negative influences and outside pressures, including peer pressure; and
3. The character of a minor is not as well formed as that of an adult, with the personality traits of minors being more transitory and less fixed.

543 U.S. 551, 569-70 (2005).

The State has advanced several compelling interests—affirmed by this Court as well as the U.S. Supreme Court’s decisions—which support the PNL. These compelling interests are reinforced by studies revealing that parental involvement laws (requiring either parental consent or parental notification) decrease *both* minor abortion rates *and* minor birth rates—meaning that such laws succeed in protecting minors not only from the risks inherent in abortion, but from any risks related to childbirth. *See* Part I, *infra*. Further, studies demonstrate that minors are particularly impacted by the physical and psychological risks of abortion, making it even more necessary for a parent to be involved in the minor’s abortion decision and recovery. *See* Part II, *infra*. Moreover, because of their inexperience and the sexual culture surrounding them, minors are inherently vulnerable to coercion in their sexual interactions, and parental involvement laws help protect minors from sexual exploitation. *See* Part III, *supra*.

This data overwhelmingly supports the State’s compelling interests in protecting the health and welfare of minors and affirming a parent’s fundamental right to direct the upbringing of his or her daughter.

I. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL DECREASE ABORTION AND BIRTH RATES AMONG MINORS

A. Parental Involvement Laws Decrease Minors' Abortion Rates

Studies indicate that parental involvement laws appear to decrease teenage demand for abortion. For example, a 1996 study revealed that "parental involvement laws appear to decrease minors' demands for abortion by 13 to 25 percent."³ In a similar earlier study, the same researcher found a 16 percent decrease overall in the rate of minors' abortions in states where parental involvement laws are in effect.⁴ When narrowed to only those minors aged 15 to 19, the abortion rate was 25 percent lower in states with parental involvement laws as compared to states without such laws.⁵ A study analyzing the effects of parental involvement laws in Massachusetts and Minnesota found that the number of abortions obtained during the period the laws were in effect decreased by approximately one-third.⁶

³ D. Haas-Wilson, *The Impact of State Abortion Restrictions on Minors' Demand for Abortions*, J. HUMAN RESOURCES 31(1):140, 155 (1996).

⁴ D. Haas-Wilson, *The economic impact of state restrictions on abortion: Parental consent and notification laws and Medicaid funding restrictions*, J. POLICY ANALYSIS & MANAGEMENT 12(3):498, 509 (1993).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ P. Donovan, *Judging teenagers: How minors fare when they seek court authorized abortions*, FAMILY PLANNING PERSPECTIVES 15(6): 259 (1983).

These findings, as well those in other similar studies,⁷ were echoed in 2007 by research published by Dr. Michael New in the peer reviewed journal *Catholic Social Science Review*.⁸ After reviewing the relevant literature and performing a multi-regression analysis on a dataset that included abortion data from nearly every state between 1985 and 1999, Dr. New determined that parental involvement laws accounted for a reduction in teenage abortion of approximately 16 percent.⁹ By demonstrating that the parental involvement laws at issue decreased teenage abortion but not overall abortion rates, Dr. New established that the 16 percent decrease in abortion among minors was causally connected to parental involvement laws, as opposed to other factors (such as a state becoming more conservative).¹⁰ He also showed that where parental involvement laws are in effect, teenage abortion decreases; but where parental involvement laws that

⁷ See, e.g., R.M. Blank et al., *State Abortion Rates: The Impact of Policies, Providers, Politics, Demographics, and Economic Environment*, J. HEALTH ECON. 15:513 (1996); R.L. Ohsfeldt & S.F. Gohmann, *Do Parental Involvement Laws Reduce Adolescent Abortion Rates?*, CONTEMPORARY ECON. POL'Y 12(2):65 (1994).

⁸ M.J. New, *Analyzing the Effect of State Legislation on the Incidence of Abortion Among Minors: A Report of the Heritage Center for Data Analysis*, CATHOLIC SOC. SCI. REV. (Fall 2007), available at <http://www.heritage.org/research/reports/2007/02/analyzing-the-effect-of-state-legislation-on-the-incidence-of-abortion-among-minors> (last visited June 10, 2013).

⁹ *Id.* at 3-4, 5.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 6-7. If there was a swing in public opinion about abortion in general, then there would have been an overall decrease in both minor and adult abortions in those states.

have been in effect are nullified by a court decree, teenage abortion increases after such nullification.¹¹

Dr. New acknowledged, however, that researchers are divided over whether parental involvement laws truly reduce the number of overall abortions, or whether minors circumvent abortion laws in their own states by traveling to states without restrictions. For example, researcher Charlotte Ellertson separately analyzed parental involvement laws in Indiana, Minnesota, and Missouri and found that the minor abortion rate declined anywhere from 17 to 26 percent after the enactment of these laws.¹² However, she also found that minors were more likely to travel to other states to obtain abortions when these laws were in effect, speculating that an increase in out-of-state abortions could offset the in-state declines.¹³

Yet there are ample studies demonstrating exactly the opposite. For example, a study by Virginia Cartoof and Lorraine Klerman found that both in- and out-of-state abortions of minors in Massachusetts declined by 15 percent following passage of the state's parental consent statute.¹⁴

¹¹ *Id.* at 7-8. In 2011, Dr. New published a study which shows that parental involvement laws cause the in-state abortion rate to decline by approximately 13 percent. See M.J. New, *Analyzing the Effect of Anti-Abortion U.S. State Legislation in the Post-Casey Era*, STATE POLITICS & POLICY QUARTERLY 11(1):28 (2011).

¹² C. Ellertson, *Mandatory parental involvement in minors' abortions: Effects of the laws in Minnesota, Missouri, and Indiana*, AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 87(8):1367 (1997).

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ V.G. Cartoof & L.V. Klerman, *Parental consent for abortion: Impact of the Massachusetts law*, AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 76(4):397 (1986).

In addition, several studies analyzing Minnesota's parental notification law have found little evidence that significant numbers of minors are obtaining out-of-state abortions. Rather, one study indicated that the law decreased the in-state minor abortion rate by about 28 percent,¹⁵ with another study finding little evidence that minors are leaving the state to obtain abortions.¹⁶ Another study stated, "[i]n practice, the majority of Minnesota minors do not have the option of going to another state, although some of those who live in the northwest section of the state can go to Fargo, North Dakota, and minors living along the southern border can go to Iowa; very few go to either state, however."¹⁷

Researchers obtained similar results when analyzing the impact of the parental notification law in Texas which went into effect in 2000. In 2006, researchers reported in the *New England Journal of Medicine* the following statistically significant findings:

- Abortion rates fell by 11 percent among 15-year-olds
- Abortion rates fell by 20 percent among 16-year-olds
- Abortion rates fell by 16 percent among 17-year-olds.¹⁸

¹⁵ J.L. Rogers et al., *Impact of the Minnesota Parental Notification Law on abortion and birth*, AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 81(3):294 (1991).

¹⁶ R.W Blum et al., *The impact of a parental notification law on adolescent abortion decision-making*, AMER. J. PUB. HEALTH 77(5):619, 620 (1987) ("there is little evidence indicating [that] large numbers of Minnesota youths are leaving the state for abortion").

¹⁷ Donovan, *supra*, at 262.

¹⁸ T. Joyce et al., *Changes in Abortion and Births and the Texas Parental Notification Law*, N.E.J.M. 354(10):1031 (2006).

The researchers rigorously collected data from neighboring states and found little evidence that minors from Texas were obtaining abortions elsewhere.¹⁹

Thus, a significant body of research literature suggests that parental involvement laws reduce minor abortion rates while not necessarily increasing out-of-state abortion rates. And given the location of Alaska, the chance of minors in the state circumventing the notification law and decreasing its effectiveness by traveling to other states is remote.

B. Parental Involvement Laws Decrease Minors' Birth Rates

Furthermore, there is no evidence that parental involvement laws cause the birthrate to increase among minors.²⁰ “[T]here is no empirical support for the claim that recent restrictions on access to abortion [including parental consent statutes] have led to higher teen birth rates.”²¹ To the contrary, “teen birth rates f[a]ll, rather than r[i]se, following the implementation of parental consent laws.”²² For example, a study by Ohsfeldt and Gohmann concluded, “the results imply that a parental involvement law is

¹⁹ *Id.* See also P.B. Levine, *Parental involvement laws and fertility behavior*, J. HEALTH ECON. 22(5):861 (2003) (taking into account state-of-residence, as opposed to state-of-occurrence, and finding that parental involvement laws do result in a significant reduction in abortions).

²⁰ See, e.g., J. Rogers & A. Miller, *Inner-City Birth Rates Following Enactment of the Minnesota Parental Notification Law*, LAW & HUMAN BEHAVIOR 17(1):27 (1993); J.L. Rogers et al., *supra*.

²¹ T.J. Kane & D. Staiger, *Teen Motherhood and Abortion Access*, QUARTERLY J. ECON. 111(2):467, 470 (1996).

²² *Id.* at 476; see also C. Jackson & J. Klerman, *Welfare, Abortion and Teenage Fertility*, RAND Corporation working paper, August 1994 (supporting the conclusion that teen birth rates fall after passage and enforcement of parental notification laws).

associated with about an 18 percent reduction in the adolescent abortion rate and an 8 percent reduction in the adolescent pregnancy rate.”²³

Likewise, Joyce et al. found that, in the two years after the Texas parental notification law went into effect, there was a decrease in the birthrate among minors of 4.8 percent.²⁴ The researchers concluded that “minors increase the use of contraception or decrease sexual activity in response to a parental notification or consent law.”²⁵

II. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL PROTECT MINORS FROM THE PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARMS INHERENT IN ABORTION

Medical studies demonstrate that abortion carries immediate and long-term physical risks, as well as psychological consequences, that are harmful to women’s health. Because minors are particularly susceptible to these consequences, the state has a compelling interest in protecting minors from such risks.

A. Minors who abort face demonstrated physical risks

1. Short-term Physical Risks of Abortion

The undisputed²⁶ short-term physical risks of surgical abortion include blood clots; incomplete abortions, which occur when part of the unborn child or other products of

²³ R.L. Ohsfeldt & S.F. Gohmann, *supra*, at 74.

²⁴ Joyce et al., *supra*, at 1034.

²⁵ *Id.* at 1037.

²⁶ These risks are openly acknowledged by abortion providers. *See, e.g.*, Planned Parenthood, *In-Clinic Abortion Procedures* (2013), available at <http://www.plannedparenthood.org/health-topics/abortion/abortion-procedures-4359.htm> (last visited June 10, 2013).

pregnancy are not completely emptied from the uterus; infection, which includes pelvic inflammatory disease and infection caused by incomplete abortion; and injury to the cervix and other organs, which includes cervical lacerations and incompetent cervix—a condition that affects subsequent pregnancies.

Minors are even more susceptible to these risks than are older women. For example, minors are up to twice as likely to experience cervical lacerations during abortion.²⁷ Researchers believe that smaller cervixes make it more difficult to dilate or grasp with instruments. Minors are also at greater risk for post-abortion infections, such as pelvic inflammatory disease and endometritis.²⁸ Again, researchers believe that minors are more susceptible because their bodies are not yet fully developed and do not yet produce the protective pathogens found in the cervical mucus of older women.

While these risks apply to surgical abortion, it is important to note that drugs producing a chemical abortion have never been tested on minors. For example, the

²⁷ See, e.g., K.F. Schultz et al., *Measures to prevent cervical injury during suction curettage abortion*, LANCET 1(8335):1182 (1993); R.T. Burkman et al., *Morbidity risk among young adolescents undergoing elective abortion*, CONTRACEPTION 30(2):99 (1984).

²⁸ See, e.g., R.T. Burkman et al., *Culture and treatment results in endometritis following elective abortion*, AM. J. OBSTET. GYNECOL. 128(5):556 (1997); W. Cates, Jr., *Teenagers and sexual risk-taking: The best of times and the worst of times*, J. ADOLESC. HEALTH 12(2):84 (1991); D. Avonts & P. Piot, *Genital infections in women undergoing therapeutic abortion*, EURO. J. OBSTET. GYNECOL. & REPROD. BIO. 20(1):53 (1985).

common abortion drug regimen RU-486 has only been tested on women aged 18 to 46.²⁹ We simply do not yet know how the RU-486 regimen has specifically impacted young women; but we do know that by April 30, 2011, the FDA knew of 2,207 adverse events in the U.S. related to the use of the drugs, including hemorrhaging, blood loss requiring transfusions, serious infections, and death.³⁰ Among the 2,207 adverse events were 14 deaths, 612 hospitalizations, 339 blood transfusions, and 256 infections.³¹

2. *Long-term Physical Risks of Abortion*

Minors are also more susceptible to the long-term risks of abortion. In fact, the Guttmacher Institute—Planned Parenthood’s former research wing—has acknowledged that because minors are less likely than adults to take prescribed antibiotics or follow other regimens of treatment, they are at greater risk for subsequent miscarriage, infertility, hysterectomy, and other serious complications.³²

Included in these long-term risks are the harmful effects on future pregnancies—yet most women who abort do so early in their reproductive lives while desiring to have

²⁹ See Mifeprex Label, available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2000/206871bl.htm (last visited June 10, 2013).

³⁰ Food and Drug Administration, *Mifepristone U.S. Postmarketing Adverse Events Summary Through 04/30/2011* (July 19, 2011), available at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/UCM263353.pdf> (last visited June 10, 2013).

³¹ *Id.*

³² Guttmacher Institute, *Teenage Pregnancy: Overall Trends and State-by-State Information* (Feb. 19, 2004).

children at a later time.³³ However, induced abortion increases the risk of pre-term birth (premature birth) and very low birth weight in subsequent pregnancies. Induced abortion has been associated with an increased risk of the premature rupture of membranes, hemorrhage, and cervical and uterine abnormalities, which are responsible for the increased risk of pre-term birth.³⁴

Pre-term birth occurs prior to the 37th week of pregnancy and is very dangerous to the child. In 2006, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control announced that premature birth is the leading cause of infant mortality.³⁵ It is also a risk factor for later disabilities for the child, such as cerebral palsy and behavioral problems.³⁶ Additionally, pre-term birth poses risks to the mother's health. For example, studies demonstrate that delivering a child before 32 weeks gestation when it is the mother's first pregnancy (as is the case for most minors) may increase the mother's breast cancer risk.³⁷

³³ C. Moreau et al., *Previous Induced Abortions and the Risk of Very Preterm Delivery: Results of the EPIPAGE Study*, BRIT. J. OBSTET. & GYN. 112:430, 431 (2005).

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ J.M. Thorp et al., *Long-Term Physical and Psychological Health Consequences of Induced Abortion: Review of the Evidence*, OBSTET. & GYNECOL. SURVEY 58(1):67, 75 (2003); W.M. Callaghan, *The Contribution of Preterm Birth to Infant Mortality Rates in the U.S.*, PEDIATRICS 118(4):1566 (Oct. 2006).

³⁶ B. Rooney & C. Calhoun, *Induced Abortion and Risk of Later Premature Births*, J. AM. PHYSICIANS & SURGEONS 8(2):46, 46-47 (2003).

³⁷ M. Melbye et al., *Preterm Delivery and Risk of Breast Cancer*, BRITISH J. CANCER 80(3-4):609 (1999); C.C. Hsieh et al., *Delivery of Premature Newborns and Maternal Breast-Cancer Risk*, LANCET 353:1239 (1999). The breast cancer risk arises because breast tissue does not mature into cancer-resistant tissue until the last eight weeks of pregnancy, after women have received great amounts of potentially cancer-causing

There are currently over 130 published studies showing a statistically significant association between induced abortion and subsequent pre-term birth.³⁸ In 2009 alone, three different systematic studies demonstrated the risk of pre-term birth following abortion. P. Shah et al. reported that induced abortion increases the risk of pre-term birth in a subsequent pregnancy by 37 percent, with two or more abortions increasing the risk by 93 percent.³⁹ Similarly, R.H. van Oppenraaij et al. found that a single induced abortion raises the risk of subsequent pre-term birth by 20 percent, with two or more abortions increasing the risk by 90 percent.⁴⁰ Those researchers also found that a woman who has two or more abortions doubles her risk of subsequently having a “very” premature baby (before 34 weeks gestation).⁴¹ Likewise, Swingle et al. reported an odds

estrogen during the first trimesters. A. Lanfranchi, *The Breast Physiology and the Epidemiology of the Abortion Breast Cancer Link*, IMAGO HOMINIS 12(3):228-36 (2005).

³⁸ See, e.g., J.M. Thorp et al., *supra*; B. Rooney & C. Calhoun, *supra*; American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, *Dr. Iams* (2010), available at <http://www.aaplog.org/get-involved/letters-to-members/dr-iams/> (last visited June 10, 2013).

³⁹ P. Shah et al., *Induced termination of pregnancy and low birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis*, B.J.O.G. 116(11):1425 (2009).

⁴⁰ R.H. van Oppenraaij et al., *Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and complications: a review*, HUMAN REPROD. UPDATE ADVANCE ACCESS 1:1 (Mar. 7, 2009).

⁴¹ *Id.*

ratio of a statistically significant 64 percent higher risk of “very pre-term birth” (before 32 weeks gestation) for women with one prior induced abortion.⁴²

The 2009 studies simply confirmed what was already in the medical literature. For example, a 2005 study demonstrated that a woman who has an abortion is 50 percent more likely to deliver before 33 weeks, and 70 percent more likely to deliver before 28 weeks in subsequent pregnancies.⁴³ A 2003 study demonstrated that a woman who has two abortions doubles her future risk of pre-term birth, and a woman who has four or more abortions increases the risk of pre-term birth by 800 percent.⁴⁴

The Institute of Medicine, which is part of the National Academy of Science, lists first-trimester abortion as a risk factor associated with subsequent pre-term birth.⁴⁵ Likewise, a renowned pregnancy resource book states, “if you have had one or more induced abortions, your risk of prematurity with this pregnancy increases by about 30 percent.”⁴⁶ The resource also states that birth before 32 weeks is ten times more likely

⁴² H.M. Swingle et al., *Abortion and the Risk of Subsequent Preterm Birth: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*, J. REPROD. MED. 54:95 (2009).

⁴³ J.M. Thorp et al., *supra*, at 75.

⁴⁴ B. Rooney & C. Calhoun, *supra*, at 46-47.

⁴⁵ R.E. Behrman, PRETERM BIRTH: CAUSES, CONSEQUENCES, AND PREVENTION 519 (2006).

⁴⁶ B. Luke, EVERY PREGNANT WOMAN’S GUIDE TO PREVENTING PREMATURE BIRTH 32 (1995).

when a woman has an incompetent cervix—which has already been discussed as a common risk following abortion.⁴⁷

Abortion is also a risk factor for placenta previa in subsequent pregnancies.⁴⁸ Placenta previa increases the risk of fetal malformation and excessive bleeding during labor.⁴⁹ Placenta previa also increases the risk that the baby will die during the perinatal period, which begins after 28 weeks gestation and ends 28 days after birth.⁵⁰

Finally, it is undisputed that a first full-term pregnancy offers a protective effect against subsequent breast cancer development.⁵¹ A woman who aborts her first pregnancy loses this protection. Thus, not only does abortion pose an increased risk for future pregnancies, it also strips a woman of the protective effects of a first full-term pregnancy. Furthermore, while it is debated whether abortion is a direct cause of breast cancer, a study by pro-choice researcher Dr. Janet Daling in the *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* sheds light on the risk to minors. In her study, *every woman* with a family history of breast cancer who was under the age of 18 at the time of her abortion

⁴⁷ *Id.*

⁴⁸ D.C. Reardon et al., *Deaths Associated with Abortion Compared to Childbirth: A Review of New and Old Data and the Medical and Legal Implications*, J. CONTEMP. HEALTH LAW & POL'Y 20(2):279 (2004).

⁴⁹ J.M. Barrett, *Induced Abortion: A Risk Factor for Placenta Previa*, AM. J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. 141:7 (1981).

⁵⁰ *Id.*; TABER'S CYCLOPEDIA MEDICAL DICTIONARY 1630 (20th ed. 2001).

⁵¹ D.C. Reardon et al., *supra*. The woman also loses the protective effect against cancers of the cervix, colon and rectum, ovaries, endometrium, and liver. *Id.*

developed breast cancer before age 45.⁵² In other words, the risk to these minors was incalculable.

B. Minors who abort face demonstrated psychological risks

Numerous studies have examined the effect abortion has on the mental state of women and confirm that abortion poses drastic risks—risks that inflict minors with particular force. These risks include depression, anxiety, and even suicide. Significantly, a new meta-analysis of studies examining the mental health of women following induced abortions, examining and combining results of 22 studies published between 1995 and 2009, affirms that these women face an 81 percent increased risk of mental health problems.⁵³

One of the leading studies examined a sample group of over 500 women from birth to age 25.⁵⁴ That study, led by pro-choice researcher D.M. Fergusson, was controlled for all relevant factors, including prior history of depression and anxiety and prior history of suicide ideation.⁵⁵ The Fergusson study found that 42 percent of young women experience major depression after abortion.⁵⁶ Moreover, minors were found to be

⁵² J.R. Daling et al., *Risk of Breast Cancer Among Young Women: Relationship of Induced Abortion*, J. NAT'L CANCER INST. 86(21):1584 (1994).

⁵³ P.K. Coleman, *Abortion and Mental Health: Quantitative Synthesis and Analysis of Research Published 1995-2009*, BRIT. J. OF PSYCHIATRY 199:180-86 (2011).

⁵⁴ D.M. Fergusson et al., *Abortion in Young Women and Subsequent Mental Health*, J. CHILD PSYCHOL. & PSYCHIAT. 41(1):16 (2006).

⁵⁵ *Id.*

⁵⁶ *Id.*

particularly at risk for depression. In studying teens aged 15 to 18, researchers found that minors who became pregnant and carried to term had a 35.7 percent chance of experiencing major depression, but minors who aborted had an astonishing 78.6 percent chance of experiencing major depression.⁵⁷

The study also found that women who abort are twice as likely to experience anxiety disorders.⁵⁸ In teens, the chance of experiencing anxiety after abortion was 64.3 percent, and the chance of suicidal ideation was 50 percent.⁵⁹ Importantly, the study showed that abortion led to depression and anxiety, and that it was not depression and anxiety that led to the abortion. Likewise, a 2003 study showed that women who abort their first pregnancies were 65 percent more likely to be at “high risk” for depression than women who did not abort.⁶⁰

Yet another study stated that “anxiety and depression have long been associated with induced abortion,” and that anxiety is the most common adverse mental effect of abortion.⁶¹ Up to 30 percent of women experience extremely high levels of anxiety and stress one month after abortion.⁶²

⁵⁷ *Id.* at 19.

⁵⁸ *Id.* at 16.

⁵⁹ *Id.* at 19.

⁶⁰ J.R. Cogle et al., *Depression Associated with Abortion and Childbirth: A Long-Term Analysis of the NLSY Cohort*, MED. SCI. MONITOR 9(4):CR157, CR 162 (2003).

⁶¹ V.M. Rue et al., *Induced Abortion and Traumatic Stress: A Preliminary Comparison of American and Russian Women*, MED. SCI. MONITOR 10(10):SR5, SR6 (2004).

Consider also the findings of the following studies:

- P.K. Coleman et al.: Across the four years studied, women who aborted had 40 percent more claims for neurotic depression than women who gave birth.⁶³
- W.B. Miller et al.: Six to eight weeks post-abortion, 35.9 percent of women experienced some depression.⁶⁴
- G. Congleton & L. Calhoun: Depression was reported in 20 percent of women who aborted.⁶⁵
- P.K. Coleman & E.S. Nelson: Depression increased after abortion to a rate of 56.7 percent.⁶⁶
- H. Soderberg et al.: 50 to 60 percent of aborting women experienced emotional distress of some form, with 30 percent of cases classified as severe.⁶⁷

⁶² P.K. Coleman, *Induced Abortion and Increased Risk of Substance Abuse: A Review of the Evidence*, CURRENT WOMEN'S HEALTH ISSUES 1:21, 23 (2005); Z. Bradshaw & P. Slade, *The Effects of Induced Abortion on Emotional Experiences and Relationships: A Critical Review of the Literature*, CLINICAL PSYCHOL. REV. 23:929-58 (2003).

⁶³ *State-funded abortions vs. deliveries: A comparison of outpatient mental health claims over four years*, AMER. J. ORTHOPSYCHIATRY 72:141 (2002).

⁶⁴ *Testing a model of the psychological consequences of abortion*, in L.J. Beckman & S.M. Harvey, THE NEW CIVIL WAR: THE PSYCHOLOGY, CULTURE, AND POLITICS OF ABORTION (American Psychological Association 1998).

⁶⁵ *Post-abortion perceptions: A comparison of self-identified distressed and non-distressed populations*, INT'L J. SOC. PSYCHIATRY 39:255 (1993).

⁶⁶ *The quality of abortion decisions and college students' reports of post-abortion emotional sequelae and abortion attitudes*, J. SOC. & CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY 17:425 (1998).

⁶⁷ *Emotional distress following induced abortion: A study of its incidence and determinants among abortees in Malmo, Sweden*, EUROPEAN J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. & REPROD. BIOLOGY 79:173 (1998).

- L.M. Pope et al.: 19 percent of women experienced moderate to severe levels of depression 4 weeks post-abortion.⁶⁸
- W. Pedersen: Women with an abortion history were nearly 3 times as likely as their peers without an abortion to report significant depression.⁶⁹
- D.I. Rees & J.J. Sabia: After adjusting for controls, abortion was associated with more than a two-fold increase in the likelihood of having depressive symptoms at a second follow-up.⁷⁰
- F.O. Fayote et al.: Previous abortion was significantly associated with depression and anxiety among pregnant women.⁷¹

Thus, abortion increases stress and decreases the ability to deal with stress.⁷²

These findings are significant, because depression is a known risk factor for suicide.⁷³

For example, the Fergusson study found that 27 percent of women who aborted reported experiencing suicide ideation, with as many as 50 percent of minors experiencing suicide or suicide ideation.⁷⁴ The risk of suicide was three times greater for women who aborted than for women who delivered. The researchers concluded that their findings raised the

⁶⁸ *Post-abortion psychological adjustment: Are minors at increased risk?*, J. ADOLESCENT HEALTH 29:2 (2001).

⁶⁹ *Abortion and depression: A population-based longitudinal study of young women*, SCANDINAVIAN J. PUB. HEALTH 36(4):424 (2008).

⁷⁰ *The relationship between abortion and depression: New evidence from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study*, MED. SCI. MONITOR 13(10):430 (2007).

⁷¹ *Emotional distress and its correlates*, J. OBSTET. & GYNECOL. 5:504 (2004).

⁷² V.M. Rue et al., *supra*, at SR5-SR16.

⁷³ J.R. Cogle et al., *supra*, at CR 162.

⁷⁴ D.M. Fergusson et al., *supra*, at 19, Table 1.

possibility that, for some young women, exposure to abortion is a traumatic life event which increases longer-term susceptibility to common mental disorders.⁷⁵

The Fergusson study is not the first (nor the last) to demonstrate a connection between induced abortion and suicide. Ten years prior to the 2006 Fergusson Study, a team led by M. Gissler found that the suicide rate was nearly 6 times greater among women who aborted compared to women who gave birth.⁷⁶ In 2005, Gissler et al. once again found that abortion was associated with a 6 times higher risk for suicide compared to birth.⁷⁷

Other studies have found an even higher risk following abortion. In 1995, Gilchrist et al. reported that, among women with no history of psychiatric illness, the rate of deliberate self-harm was 70 percent higher after abortion than childbirth.⁷⁸ In a comparison study of American women and Russian women, V.M. Rue et al. reported that 36.4 percent of the American women and 2.8 percent of the Russian women reported suicidal ideation.⁷⁹ While abortion has a “deleterious effect,” childbirth appears to have a protective effect against suicide.⁸⁰

⁷⁵ *Id.* at 22.

⁷⁶ M. Gissler et al., *Suicides after pregnancy in Finland, 1987-94: Register linkage study*, BRIT. MED. J. 313:1431 (1996).

⁷⁷ M. Gissler et al., *Injury deaths, suicides and homicides associated with pregnancy, Finland 1987-2000*, EURO. J. PUBLIC HEALTH 15:459 (2005).

⁷⁸ A.C. Gilchrist et al., *Termination of pregnancy and psychiatric morbidity*, BRIT. J. PSYCHIATRY 167:243 (1995).

⁷⁹ V.M. Rue et al., *supra*.

Other studies have linked a history of abortion to sleeping disorders, eating disorders, and promiscuity, all of which are destructive to minors' health.⁸¹ In 2006, researchers in a federally funded study found that adolescents who abort their unintended pregnancies are five times more likely to seek help for psychological and emotional problems afterward than those adolescents who carried their pregnancies to term.⁸² The study also revealed that adolescents who had abortions were three times more likely to experience trouble sleeping.⁸³

Abortion also appears to fuel other destructive behaviors, such as subsequent drug and alcohol abuse. Women who abort are twice as likely to drink alcohol at dangerous levels and three times as likely to become addicted to illegal drugs.⁸⁴ Women who never abused drugs before abortion are 4.5 times more likely to abuse drugs after abortion.⁸⁵

⁸⁰ J.R. Cogle et. al., *supra*, at CR162. See also M. Gissler et al., *Pregnancy-associated deaths in Finland 1987-1994: Definition problems and benefits of record linkage*, ACTA OBSTETRICA ET GYNECOLOGICA SCANDINAVICA 76:651 (1997).

⁸¹ D.C. Reardon & P.C. Coleman, *Relative Treatment Rates for Sleep Disorders and Sleep Disturbances Following Abortion and Childbirth: A Prospective Record-Based Study*, J. SLEEP 29:105-06 (2006); D.C. Reardon et al., *supra*.

⁸² P.J. Smith, *Study Shows Abortion Takes Toll on Adolescent Mental Health* (Aug. 18, 2006), available at <http://www.lifesitenews.com/ldn/2006/aug/06081805.html> (last visited June 10, 2013) (discussing the federally funded P. Coleman research in *Journal of Youth and Adolescents*).

⁸³ *Id.*

⁸⁴ D.M. Fergusson et al., *supra*.

⁸⁵ P.G. Ney, *Abortion and Subsequent Substance Abuse*, AM. J. DRUG & ALCOHOL ABUSE 26:61-75 (2000).

Another study found that the use of drugs other than marijuana was 6.1 times higher among women who had abortions than woman who did not have abortions.⁸⁶ In regard to minors, one study found that minors who abort their pregnancies are nine times more likely to report marijuana use after their abortions than are minors who carry their pregnancies to term.⁸⁷

There are over 1 million induced abortions performed in the United States each year.⁸⁸ Minors aged 15 to 17 account for six percent of all abortions—thus an estimated 60,000 abortions per year.⁸⁹ Contrary to Plaintiffs' claims, the Guttmacher Institute—again, the former research arm of Planned Parenthood, the nation's leading abortion provider—has estimated that 40 percent of teenage abortions occur without parental involvement.⁹⁰ Those teens are left without the protective oversight of their parents following abortion—oversight that might alert parents to psychological effects before it is too late.

⁸⁶ K. Yamaguchi & D. Kandel, *Drug Use and Other Determinants of Premarital Pregnancy and its Outcome: A Dynamic Analysis of Competing Life Events*, J. MARRIAGE & FAMILY 49:257-70 (1987).

⁸⁷ P.J. Smith, *supra* (discussing P. Coleman research in *Journal of Youth & Adolescents*).

⁸⁸ Guttmacher Institute, *Facts on Induced Abortion in the United States* (August 2011), available at http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/fb_induced_abortion.html (last visited June 10, 2013).

⁸⁹ *Id.*

⁹⁰ Guttmacher Institute, *Teenage Pregnancy*, *supra*.

III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS SUCH AS THE PNL PROTECT MINORS FROM SEXUAL EXPLOITATION

Finally, parental involvement laws protect weak and vulnerable teens from sexual exploitation. It is obviously easier for child predators to use abortion to cover up criminal behavior in states without parental involvement laws. Parental involvement laws help protect vulnerable minors by alerting a parent to potential abuse.

Evidence suggests there is widespread confusion among young girls about their sexual encounters and that a “considerable proportion” of minors experience their first sexual intercourse under coercive conditions.⁹¹ In one study, 60 percent of women who had sexual intercourse before the age of 15 reported having had a forced sexual experience.⁹² Of those with a first sexual experience before the age of 14, 74 percent reported a forced sexual experience.⁹³

Simply put, because of their inexperience and the sexual culture surrounding them, minors are inherently vulnerable to exploitation and coercion in their sexual

⁹¹ M. Oberman [“Oberman I”], *Regulating Consensual Sex with Minors: Defining a Role for Statutory Rape*, 48 BUFFALO L. REV. 703, 708 (2000); M. Oberman [“Oberman II”], *Girls in the Master’s House: Of Protection, Patriarchy and the Potential for Using the Master’s Tools to Reconfigure Statutory Rape Law*, 50 DEPAUL L. REV. 799, 820 (2001) (citing J. Abma et al., *Young Women’s Degree of Control Over First Intercourse: An Exploratory Analysis*, FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 30(1):12, 12-18 (Jan./Feb. 1998)).

⁹² Lewin Group, *Statutory Rape: A Guide to State Laws and Reporting Requirements* 1 (2004) (citing Guttmacher Institute, *Sex and America’s Teenagers* (1994)); see also Oberman I, *supra*, at 717; P. Donovan [“Donovan II”], *Can Statutory Rape Laws be Effective in Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy?*, FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 29(1):30 (Jan./Feb. 1997).

⁹³ Oberman I, *supra*, at 717; Donovan II, *supra*, at 30.

interactions.⁹⁴ Unfortunately, sexual abuse is “vastly underreported.”⁹⁵ In fact, nearly 88 percent of sexual abuse is never reported—let alone prosecuted.⁹⁶ Many experts refer to sexual violence and date/acquaintance rape as a “hidden” or “silent” epidemic because of the high rates of occurrence and its infrequent disclosure.⁹⁷ Yet studies reveal that *at least* one in five girls is sexually abused before the age of 18.⁹⁸ Some researchers estimate even higher numbers.⁹⁹

Numerous studies document the consequences of sexual abuse, ranging from psychological to physical to behavioral effects. Psychologically, sexual assault leads to severe emotional and traumatic reactions.¹⁰⁰ Such effects include post-traumatic stress

⁹⁴ Oberman I, *supra*, at 704-05, 709-10, 778 (citing numerous studies and resources).

⁹⁵ National Association of Children’s Hospitals and Related Institutions [“NACHRI”], *Child Sexual Abuse Fact Sheet* (2004); E.M. Saewyc et al., *Teenage Pregnancy and Associated Risk Behaviors Among Sexually Abused Adolescents*, *PERSP. ON SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH* 936(3):8, 99 (May/June 2004).

⁹⁶ Stop It Now, *Commonly Asked Questions: Answers to Commonly Asked Questions About Child Sexual Abuse* (2005) (citing R.F. Hanson et al., *Factors Related to the Reporting of Childhood Sexual Assault*, *CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT* 23:559, 559-69 (1999)).

⁹⁷ C.E. Irwin & V.I. Rickert, *Editorial: Coercive Sexual Experiences During Adolescence and Young Adulthood: A Public Health Problem*, 36 *J. ADOLES. HEALTH* 359 (2005); V.I. Rickert et al., *Disclosure of Date/Acquaintance Rape: Who Reports and When*, 18 *J. PED. ADOLES. GYN.* 17 (2005).

⁹⁸ NACHRI, *supra*.

⁹⁹ G. Murphy, *BEYOND SURVIVING: TOWARD A MOVEMENT TO PREVENT CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE* 3 (2002).

¹⁰⁰ P.T. Clements et al., *Issues and Dynamics of Sexually Assaulted Adolescents and Their Families*, *J. MENTAL HEALTH NURSING* 13:267, 273 (2004).

disorder; difficulty regulating reactions to disturbing events; a detrimental effect on “adolescent intrapsychic development and interpersonal relationships”; a poorly developed sense of self; an inability to trust that directly impacts the potential for intimate relationships; eating and sleep disorders; intense, negative self-evaluations; depression; and increased incidences or attempts of suicide.¹⁰¹

From a physical standpoint, minors enduring sexual abuse have an increased incidence of soft tissue injury, pelvic pain syndromes, and gastrointestinal illness.¹⁰² Some of the most drastic physical consequences occur as a result of the behavioral effects common in sexual abuse victims. Evidence demonstrates a strong correlation between sexual abuse and compulsive, addictive, high-risk behavior.¹⁰³

For example, sexually abused minors are more likely not to use contraception and to have multiple partners.¹⁰⁴ One study found that minors who have been sexually abused are twice as likely not to use birth control and are more likely to have had more

¹⁰¹ *Id.* at 267, 271, 273; J.L. Stock et al., *Adolescent Pregnancy and Sexual Risk-Taking Among Sexually Abused Girls*, FAM. PLAN. PERSP. 29(5):200, 201 (Sept./Oct. 1997); V.I. Rickert et al., *supra*, at 23 (2005); G. Murphy, *supra*, at 3.

¹⁰² P.T. Clements et al., *supra*, at 271.

¹⁰³ J.L. Stock et al., *supra*, at 202; Oberman I, *supra*, at 729-30; Saewyc et al., *supra*, at 102.

¹⁰⁴ *See, e.g.*, Saewyc et al., *supra*, at 98; P.T. Clements et al., *supra*, at 271.

than one sexual partner.¹⁰⁵ Another study found that previously abused minors were three times more likely to have had three or more partners in the last year, with currently abused minors seven times more likely than never-abused minors to have had three or more partners in the last year.¹⁰⁶

These actions bring higher rates of pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases, as well as an increased risk of sexual violence in relationships.¹⁰⁷ One study found that sexually abused teens are three times more likely to become pregnant before the age of 18.¹⁰⁸

In addition, sexually abused minors have higher rates of substance abuse and addictions, including the use of alcohol, cigarettes, and illegal substances.¹⁰⁹ Often these substances are used as coping devices.¹¹⁰

¹⁰⁵ J.L. Stock et al., *supra*, at 202; *see also* Saewyc et al., *supra* (reporting that sexually abused adolescents are less likely than their non-abused peers to use condoms or other birth control methods).

¹⁰⁶ T. Luster & S.A. Small, *Sexual Abuse History and Number of Sex Partners Among Female Adolescents*, *FAM. PLAN. PERSP.* 29(5):204, 207 (Sept./Oct. 1997).

¹⁰⁷ J.L. Stock et al., *supra*, at 202; National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy ["NCPTP"], *14 and Younger: The Sexual Behavior of Young Adolescents* 18 (Summary 2003); P.T. Clements et al., *supra*, at 267, 271; Saewyc et al., *supra*, at 98, 102.

¹⁰⁸ J.L. Stock et al., *supra*, at 200.

¹⁰⁹ NCPTP, *supra*, at 13; Clements et al., *supra*, at 267; K. Moore & J. Manlove, *A Demographic Portrait of Statutory Rape*, presentation to *Conference on Sexual Exploitation of Teens* (2005).

¹¹⁰ *See* Saewyc et al., *supra*, at 98.

Finally, repeated victimization is a major concern. Studies show a link between sexual abuse and the repetition of assaults and prostitution.¹¹¹ In fact, one study claims that previous victimization is the “most highly correlated predictor of subsequent victimization.”¹¹² Forty-four percent of women who were sexually abused before the age of 18 are victimized again at least once later in life.¹¹³ Between 38 and 48 percent of survivors later have physically abusive husbands.¹¹⁴ One report documented that 65 percent of prostitutes were forced into sexual activity before the age of 16.¹¹⁵

The PNL helps protect minors from such harms by ensuring that someone in authority—be that a parent, the minor’s witness, or a judge—is aware of such abuse.

¹¹¹ P.T. Clements et al., *supra*, at 267, 271.

¹¹² *Id.*

¹¹³ Oberman I, *supra*, at 730.

¹¹⁴ *Id.* at 729.

¹¹⁵ *Id.* at 730.

CONCLUSION

Amicus Curiae urges this court to uphold the Parental Notice Law (PNL).

Respectfully submitted,




Christina Passard (Bar No. 0511095)
The Law Office of Christina M. Passard, P.C.
4241 B Street, Suite 201
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 272-0024
Local Counsel for Amici Curiae

Mailee R. Smith [Admitted *pro hac vice*]
Americans United for Life
655 15th St NW, Suite 410
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 289-1478

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this *amicus curiae* brief is submitted in Times New Roman typeface and in 13-point font.



Christina Passard (Bar No. 0511095)
Local Counsel for Amici Curiae


PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 7/31/2013, I caused to be served two (2) paper copies of the foregoing *Amicus Curiae* Brief to counsel listed below by depositing said copies in U.S.P.S. first class mail, postage paid.

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Steve Aden Alliance Defending Freedom 801 G St. NW Washington, D.C. 20001</p> <p>Talcott Camp Andrew Beck ACLU Foundation Reproductive Freedom Project 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004</p> <p>Kevin Clarkson Matthew Clarkson Brena, Bell & Clarkson, PC 810 N St., Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501</p> <p>Janet Crepps Center for Reproductive Rights 2108 Bethel Road Simpsonville, SC 29681</p> <p>Laura Einstein Planned Parenthood of the Great Northwest 2001 East Madison St. Seattle, WA 98122</p> <p>Jeffrey M. Feldman Susan Orlansky Feldman Orlansky & Sanders 500 L St., Fourth Floor Anchorage, AK 99501</p> | <p>Allison Mendel Mendel & Associates 1215 W. 8th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99501</p> <p>Kimberly Parker Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, D.C. 20006</p> <p>Lourdes Rosado Juvenile Law Center 1315 Walnut St., 4th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107</p> <p>Diana O. Salgado Planned Parenthood Federation of America 434 W. 33rd St. New York, NY 10001</p> <p>Thomas Stenson ACLU of Alaska Foundation 1057 W. Fireweed Lane, Suite 207 Anchorage, AK 99503</p> <p>Stephanie Toti Center for Reproductive Rights 120 Wall St., 14th Floor New York, NY 10005</p> |
|---|---|

Mary Ann Lundquist
Assistant Attorney General
State of Alaska, Department of Law
100 Cushman St., Suite 400
Fairbanks, AK 99701

John A. Treptow
Dario Borghesan
Margaret Paton Walsh
Attorney General's Office
State of Alaska, Department of Law
1031 West Fourth Ave., Suite 200
Anchorage, AK 99501



Mailee R. Smith
Admitted *pro hac vice*

